

Grant Review Score Sheet

Applicant:		Reviewer:	
------------	--	-----------	--

Funding Priorities

In alignment with the Serve America act, funding for AmeriCorps programs is targeted toward six Focus Areas identified by the Corporation for National and Community Service.

CNCS Focus Areas:

- Disaster Services
- Economic Opportunity
- Education
- Environmental Stewardship
- Healthy Futures
- Veterans and Military Families

All programs operating in Montana must address all of the Montana Expectations in their application and execution.

Montana Expectations:

- ✓ Inclusion in the design and delivery making the program accessible to individuals with disabilities
- ✓ Collaborative approach to planning, design, and the delivery of the program
- ✓ Successful administration of an AmeriCorps and or other federal grants
- ✓ Address rural, underserved or areas of extreme poverty not currently served by AmeriCorps
- ✓ AmeriCorps members trained and prepared to respond to disasters in their community

Continuation Scoresheet

This section will be based on the information pulled from previous grant years (2011 and 2012). Scoring will be based upon the information in the staff assessment document. Any narrative provided by the program within these specific areas should also be used to weigh into scoring.

Category	Score	Comments (Strengths and Weaknesses)
Based upon the staff assessment		
a. Budget, Match and Cost Per MSY(10 Points) Staff will provide reviewers with information pertaining to the program’s performance in relation to their budget. This information will include spending as compared to their budget, ability to meet budgeted match and the programs cost per MSY as compared to previous operating periods.		
b. Compliance Findings (10 Points) Staff will describe any compliance issues and areas of weakness/risk identified during the last full year of program operation. If the applicant, its subgrantees, and/or service site locations had compliance or areas of weakness/risk identified, the corrective action taken by the program will be described.		
c. Member enrollment (10 Points) If the program enrolled less than 100% of the slots received during its last full year of program operation the program should provide an explanation and describe the plan for improvement in the continuation narrative. Enrollment rate is calculated as slots filled, plus refill slots filled, divided by slots awarded.		
d. Member retention (10 Points) If the applicant was not able to retain all of its members during the last full year of program operation, the program should provide an explanation and describe the plan for improvement in the continuation narrative. While retention rates may vary among effective programs depending on the program model, the expectation is that Grantees to pursue the highest retention rate possible. Retention rate is calculated as the number of members exited with award (full or partial award) divided by the number of members enrolled.		
e. Performance measure progress versus stated goals (10 Points) Staff will describe the applicant’s performance against performance measure targets during its last year of program operations. How successful has the applicant been in solving the identified problem? If the applicant did not meet performance targets, provide an explanation and describe the plan for improvement.		
Total	0	Of possible 50

Section Total	0	Of Possible	50	Section Percent	0%
Section Percent	0%	X	100	=	0.00
Section Final					

Final Score	0	Of 100
--------------------	---	--------